

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM LYLE NIBLE,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEFF MACOMBER, et al.,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01259-DJC CSK

ORDER

(ECF No. 83)

This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On July 3, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF No. 83), which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. On August 13, 2025, Plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations (ECF No. 86), including objecting that Defendants are not entitled to immunity and that this Court has jurisdiction over each Defendant. On August 28, 2025 and August 29, 2025, Defendants Macomber, Broomfield, St. Louis-Franklin, Reyes, Lugar, Dorsey, and Mosely filed responses to Plaintiff's objections. (ECF Nos. 87, 88.) The Court has considered all objections raised.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a *de novo*

1 review. The Court has carefully reviewed the file, including Plaintiff's objections and
2 Defendants' response to the objections, and finds the findings and recommendations
3 are supported by the record and by proper analysis. The Court therefore concludes
4 that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full.

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 6 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 83) are ADOPTED IN FULL;
- 7 2. California State Defendants Macomber, Dorsey, Broomfield, St. Louis-Franklin,
8 Reyes, and Lugar's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 51) is GRANTED without leave to
9 amend;
- 10 3. Defendant Mosely's motion for judgment on the pleadings (ECF No. 51) is
11 GRANTED without leave to amend;
- 12 4. Missouri State Defendants Richardson and Woodruff's motion to set aside the
13 Clerk's entry of defaults (ECF No. 74) is GRANTED;
- 14 5. Missouri State Defendants Richardson and Woodruff's motion to dismiss (ECF
15 No. 75) is GRANTED without leave to amend;
- 16 6. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (ECF No. 67) is DENIED;
- 17 7. On the Court's own motion, Plaintiff's claims against Defendant Jason Johnson
18 are DISMISSED without leave to amend;
- 19 8. On the Court's own motion, Plaintiff's claims for violations of the Fourth
20 Amendment, First Amendment, and State and Federal Whistleblower Act are
21 DISMISSED without leave to amend; and
- 22 10. This entire action is dismissed, and the Clerk of the Court is directed to close
23 this case.

24
25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: **September 5, 2025**


27 Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28